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Paracetamol is the most widely used over-the-counter analgesic agent in the world. It is involved in a large proportion of accidental paediatric exposures and deliberate self-poisoning cases and is the leading pharmaceutical agent responsible for calls to poisons information centres in Australia and New Zealand. Paracetamol is also the single most commonly taken drug in overdoses that lead to hospital presentation and admission.\(^1\)

Hepatic failure and death are uncommon outcomes,\(^2,3\) although paracetamol remains the most important single cause of acute fulminant hepatic failure in Western countries.\(^4\)

Acute deliberate self-poisoning, accidental paediatric exposure and inadvertent repeated supratherapeutic ingestions all require specific approaches to risk assessment and management. This complexity is compounded by the existence of several different treatment nomograms in Australasia. Existing recommendations do not deal with extended-release paracetamol, and previously distributed industry-generated guidelines (from GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare [GSK]) no longer reflect current clinical toxicology practice or poison centre recommendations.

To rectify this problem, new consensus guidelines have been drafted by a panel of Australian and New Zealand clinical toxicologists, with the objective of supporting clinicians in poison centres, primary care and hospital practice with concise and meaningful clinical management guidelines for presentations related to paracetamol overdose. Here, we summarise the rationale for the recommendations made in the guidelines (which are available in full at http://www.toxinz.com).

Consensus process

Clinical toxicologists from Australia and New Zealand were invited to a workshop held in June 2006 and funded by GSK. Prior to the workshop, participants were sent copies of previous guidelines and invited to submit points of debate and consult the relevant medical literature. During the workshop, previous guidelines were discussed point by point, using clinical scenarios to frame debate, while a facilitator drafted flow charts and guideline points on a display.

After the workshop, the resulting guidelines were summarised and circulated to the panel members, with refinements also circulated for comment and alteration. The draft guidelines were presented for comment at a peer-review clinical toxicology meeting attended by clinical toxicologists from around Australia and New Zealand in January 2007, and the drafting process concluded that month.

Background

Paracetamol kinetics

Paracetamol is rapidly absorbed from the small intestine. Peak serum concentrations occur within 1–2 hours for standard tablet or capsule formulations and within 30 minutes for liquid preparations. Peak serum concentrations after therapeutic doses do not usually exceed 130μmol/L (20mg/L). Twenty per cent of the ingested dose undergoes first-pass metabolism in the gut wall (sulphation). Distribution is usually within 4 hours of ingestion for standard preparations and 2 hours for liquid preparations. Volume of distribution is 0.9L/kg.\(^2\)

Further elimination occurs by hepatic biotransformation. After therapeutic doses, the elimination half-life is 1.5–3 hours. About 90% is metabolised to inactive sulphate and glucuronide conjugates that are excreted in the urine. Metabolism of the remainder is via cytochrome P450 (chiefly 2E1 and 3A4) and results in the highly reactive intermediary compound N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI). In normal conditions, NAPQI is immediately bound by intracellular glutathione and eliminated in the urine as mercapturic adducts.\(^3\)

With increased paracetamol doses, greater production of NAPQI may deplete glutathione stores. When glutathione depletion reaches a critical level (thought to be about 30% of normal stores), NAPQI binds to other proteins, causing damage to the hepatocyte. Glutathione depletion itself may also be injurious.\(^3\)

General principles of paracetamol overdose management

Resuscitation

Immediate threats to the airway, breathing and circulation are extremely rare in isolated paracetamol overdose. In exceptional cases, massive ingestion causing extremely high serum paracetamol
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levels (ie, >5000 μmol/L or 800 mg/L) may be associated with an early decrease in level of consciousness and with lactic acidosis. Supportive management is appropriate in such cases, with N-acetylcysteine administered in routine doses, although prolonged infusions may be required. Haemodialysis has been described in this setting, but indications for its use have not been defined. Recovery is usual with supportive care.

Any alteration of conscious state should prompt bedside testing of the patient’s serum glucose level and correction of hypoglycaemia, if present. This is only likely to be due to paracetamol if there is hepatic failure.

**Risk assessment**

A risk assessment, in which the clinician attempts to predict the most likely clinical course and potential complications of the patient’s presentation, should occur as soon as possible in the management of all poisoned patients. The key factors to consider for paracetamol poisoning are the dose and concentration (early), clinical and laboratory features suggesting liver damage (late), and any history suggesting increased susceptibility to toxicity.

The dosing threshold at which hepatic injury occurs after supratherapeutic paracetamol ingestion appears to be subject to wide interindividual variation and depends on the dosing context (Box 1). Serum paracetamol levels should be used to assess the need for N-acetylcysteine administration in all patients with deliberate paracetamol self-poisoning, regardless of the stated dose.

Clinical or biochemical evidence of liver injury may not be apparent for up to 24 hours after acute paracetamol overdose. The best surrogate marker indicating the potential for injury is a timed serum paracetamol level plotted on a nomogram. However, the nomogram cannot be applied for repeated or staggered doses, or if the time of ingestion cannot be determined with confidence by the treating clinician.

The most important risk factor for liver damage and death after acute paracetamol ingestion is the extent of delay beyond 8 hours until treatment with N-acetylcysteine commences. Treatment within 8 hours will prevent all serious hepatic injury. However, N-acetylcysteine has frequent adverse effects, is moderately expensive and requires hospitalisation, so it is not reasonable to administer it to every patient with possible paracetamol overdose.

**Rationale for a new treatment nomogram in Australia and New Zealand**

Paracetamol treatment nomograms have been used for many years in Australasia. The nomogram used appears to be a local decision, but they are often derived from nomograms devised overseas.
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The Prescott nomogram was based on a cohort of patients in Edinburgh and extends from 1320μmol/L (200mg/L) at 4 hours to 200μmol/L (30mg/L) at 15 hours. The Rumack–Matthew nomogram is based on the same data, but extrapolated to 24 hours. It also uses a “treatment line” that is plotted 25% lower (1000μmol/L [150mg/L] at 4 hours) to comply with a United States Food and Drug Administration requirement to provide a “safety buffer” for research and clinical purposes.

The efficacy and safety of dosing N-acetylcysteine according to the Rumack–Matthew nomogram has been demonstrated in a study of more than 11,000 patients, with no deaths among patients who were treated within 15 hours. In contrast, while use of the higher Prescott line has been demonstrated to be usually safe in smaller cohorts of patients, there have been occasional reports of people who were not treated because they had concentrations below the line, but who subsequently died from acute hepatic failure. In addition, both these nomograms use a log scale, making it difficult to plot levels accurately.

Several variables (such as chronic ethanol misuse, use of enzyme-inducing drugs, prolonged fasting, and dehydration) are
Management of paracetamol overdose

Gastrointestinal decontamination

Significant hepatic injury is extremely rare after acute single accidental paracetamol ingestion in children under 6 years of age, and it is very uncommon for them to have levels that require N-acetylcysteine treatment. Therefore, in children under 6 years of age with potential accidental paracetamol intoxication, gastrointestinal decontamination with syrup of ipecac, activated charcoal or gastric lavage is not indicated.

In adults, activated charcoal administered within 1–2 hours of ingestion reduces the absorbed paracetamol dose and the likelihood that N-acetylcysteine will subsequently be required. Nevertheless, if activated charcoal cannot be administered, treatment with N-acetylcysteine within 8 hours guarantees survival in any case. Therefore, activated charcoal alone is not a life-saving treatment that may be imposed under a duty-of-care principle. We recommend administration of 50g activated charcoal only in cooperative adults who can receive the dose within 1–2 hours of paracetamol ingestion.

Acute paracetamol exposure with known time of ingestion

Treatment with N-acetylcysteine guarantees survival if administered within 8 hours of paracetamol ingestion, and outcome is the same regardless of when treatment is given within this 8-hour window. Beyond 8–10 hours after ingestion, efficacy decreases with increasing delay to treatment. If a patient presents within 8 hours of ingestion, N-acetylcysteine administration may be

hypothesised to increase the risk of hepatic injury. These “risk factors” are thought to increase the metabolism of paracetamol to the toxic metabolite NAPQI via induction of mixed function oxidases, or decrease hepatocellular glutathione stores, or both. However, the relevance of these risk factors in clinical practice remains controversial, with no consensus among clinical toxicologists. Many guidelines have recommended an arbitrary further lowering of the nomogram line (ie, to 600μmol/L [100mg/L] at 4 hours) for patients with such risk factors. This introduces further complexity into clinical risk assessment. It is our experience that clinical assessment of risk factors is done in a haphazard fashion and the “high-risk” line on the nomogram is often misinterpreted, with some clinicians treating everyone above this line, and some never using it at all.

The aim of the new treatment nomogram, shown in Box 2, is to reconcile the hypotheses and evidence regarding risk factors while using a single nomogram line to simplify decision making. The new nomogram lowers the old Australian nomogram line by 25%, meaning that it starts at 1000μmol/L (150mg/L) at 4 hours. This provides both a margin of safety for patients who may possess risk factors and a small margin of error for estimation of time of ingestion, and avoids the need for potentially confusing additional lines. This change simplifies management guidelines, remains conservative and poses minimal inherent risk for misinterpretation and error. It is also the treatment threshold with the most clinical data to support its efficacy and safety.
delayed until a serum paracetamol level plotted on the nomogram confirms it is indicated (as long as treatment can still be commenced within the 8-hour window if it is required).

For patients that present within 8 hours with a known time of ingestion, risk assessment is based on the serum paracetamol level plotted on the nomogram. Supplementary investigations such as liver function tests or a coagulation profile do not refine the risk assessment, and do not provide useful baseline data or change management in this group of patients. These tests are therefore not indicated unless risk assessment for another agent requires them. Follow-up tests are not required at the conclusion of the 20-hour N-acetylcysteine infusion, before discharge or at subsequent follow-up.

In patients who present 8 or more hours after ingestion, N-acetylcysteine should be commenced immediately if the reported dose exceeds the threshold for possible toxicity (Box 1) or the patient shows clinical signs suggestive of paracetamol toxicity (nausea, vomiting, right upper quadrant pain or tenderness). Evaluation of serum paracetamol and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels should then be performed as soon as possible. If the serum paracetamol level is subsequently found to be below the nomogram line, N-acetylcysteine may be ceased, if above the line, it should be continued. The baseline serum ALT level assists risk assessment and provides useful baseline data if N-acetylcysteine is indicated. Similarly, if N-acetylcysteine is commenced, baseline international normalised ratio and platelet count provide additional data to inform later risk assessments (eg, for risk of death from hepatic failure).

Box 3 summarises the steps for management of acute paracetamol exposure with known time of ingestion.

### Acute paracetamol exposure with unknown time of ingestion

If the time of ingestion is unknown, or the treating clinician is not confident of the history of ingestion, it is safest to treat the patient as a delayed presentation. Thus, the recommendation is to follow the > 8 hours scenario in Box 3.

If there is a detectable serum paracetamol level and the timing of ingestion cannot be accurately determined, N-acetylcysteine treatment should be commenced and serum ALT level measured. If, at the end of the infusion, the ALT level is normal or decreasing, N-acetylcysteine may be discontinued. If further history has since become available and the serum paracetamol level can be accurately plotted on the nomogram, this should be done and N-acetylcysteine discontinued if found to be appropriate.

### Sustained-release paracetamol overdose

The kinetics of sustained-release paracetamol preparations after deliberate self-poisoning have not been defined. Studies in volunteers confirm there is a potential for slow absorption and thus a delayed peak serum paracetamol concentration above the nomogram line. If more than 200mg/kg or 10g (whichever is less) has been ingested, N-acetylcysteine treatment should be started immediately. If less than this amount has been ingested, serum paracetamol levels may be used to determine the need for N-acetylcysteine. In all cases, serum paracetamol levels should be taken at 4 hours or more post-ingestion (as with standard preparations) and repeated 4 hours later. If either level is above the nomogram line, N-acetylcysteine should be commenced or continued. N-acetylcysteine may be discontinued if both levels fall below the nomogram line.

### Multiple or “staggered” overdoses

In this scenario, if it has been less than 8 hours since the first dose, the patient can safely be treated as per the 1–8 hours scenario in Box 3. The rationale for this is that due to the rapid absorption of paracetamol, any later doses will only lead to overestimation of the risk. However, if it has been more than 8 hours since the first dose, treat the patient as per the > 8 hours scenario in Box 3.

### Repeated supratherapeutic ingestion

There is little evidence to guide risk assessment for repeated ingestion of high doses of paracetamol. The margin of safety has for many years been assumed to be high, however, recent data suggest that minor subclinical elevations of serum aminotransferase levels may be quite common with prolonged therapy. Conversely, studies of “high-risk” patients who have taken supratherapeutic doses over 3–4 days have suggested significant hepatotoxicity is uncommon. Therefore, the threshold for the reported dose that causes toxicity has been made deliberately and conservatively low (see Box 1 and Box 4). However, there is evidence that the combination of a low paracetamol level and normal alanine and aspartate aminotransferase levels at any time indicates there is no risk of subsequent hepatotoxicity. In most cases, this rule precludes the need for prolonged treatment in this group.

### Recommended investigations

Box 5 gives a summary of recommended tests and when they should be administered. For ease of reference, these are presented according to the time from ingestion to administration of N-acetylcysteine.
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N-acetylcysteine

N-acetylcysteine is an effective agent for the treatment of paracetamol overdose. It is recommended that paracetamol overdose is managed in three stages:

1. **Initial infusion:** An initial dose of 150 mg/kg of N-acetylcysteine diluted in 200 mL of 5% glucose and infused over 15 to 60 minutes.
2. **Second infusion:** Initial infusion is followed by a continuous infusion of 50 mg/kg of N-acetylcysteine in 500 mL of 5% glucose over the next 4 hours.
3. **Third infusion:** Second infusion is followed by a continuous infusion of 100 mg/kg of N-acetylcysteine in 1000 mL of 5% glucose over the next 16 hours.

**Anaphylactoid reactions** manifested by rash, wheeze or mild hypotension occur in 10%–50% of patients during the first two N-acetylcysteine infusions. Management is supportive, with temporary halting or slowing of the infusion, and administration of antihistamines. The occurrence of an anaphylactoid reaction does not preclude the use of N-acetylcysteine on another occasion if indicated. Severe life-threatening reactions are very rare, but may occur in predisposed individuals, such as patients with asthma.

N-acetylcysteine reduces mortality if commenced late in patients with established paracetamol-induced fulminant hepatic failure, although mechanisms of action in this later period may be different. In this setting, N-acetylcysteine reduces inotrope requirements, decreases cerebral oedema and increases the rate of survival by about 30%.

**New recommendations on dose calculations for N-acetylcysteine**

When risk assessment indicates that N-acetylcysteine is required, it is administered as a three-stage infusion (Box 6). Each stage contains different doses, totalling 300 mg/kg over 20–21 hours. If hepatic injury is suspected after the three infusion stages, N-acetylcysteine is continued at the rate of the last infusion stage (100 mg/kg each 16 hours or 150 mg/kg/24 hours) until there is clinical and biochemical evidence of improvement.

N-acetylcysteine is packaged for intravenous infusion in 10 mL ampoules, each containing 2000 mg (20%). Prescription of N-acetylcysteine requires a two-stage calculation to compute the appropriate weight-based dose and then the volume required. Calculation or transcription errors may lead to potentially fatal dosing errors. It is recommended that dosing tables providing the required volume of 20% N-acetylcysteine by weight categories be used to chart the volume required in each infusion. This precludes the need for calculations and decreases the potential for error. Such tables are found in the N-acetylcysteine product information and have also been reproduced in the new guidelines (Box 7).

Calculation of N-acetylcysteine doses is based on estimated lean bodyweight to the nearest 10 kg. A formula is provided to calculate N-acetylcysteine volume in each infusion for patients weighing more than 90 kg. For children, the dose of N-acetylcysteine is calculated in the same way, but with the volume reduced appropriately (Box 8).

**Subsequent management of hepatotoxicity and liver failure**

Only a small proportion of patients who present late develop severe hepatotoxicity and fulminant hepatic failure. This complex subject was considered beyond the scope of the new guidelines. Clinicians should consult a specialist liver unit for advice on the management of patients with liver failure or signs that indicate a poor prognosis.
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